

metastasis from carcinoma of the urinary bladder. Br J *Urol 1960; 32:290—294.*

- 6. Chinn D, Genant HK, Quivey JM, et al. Heterotopic bone formation in metastatic tumor from transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. Am J Bone Joint Surg 1976; 58:881—883.
- 7. Lisbona A, McLoud T, Kapusta M, et al. An unusual case of psoas muscle ossification due to metastatic carci noma. J Can Assn Radio! 1972; 23:22 1—223.
- 8. Huggins CB. The formation of bone under the influence of epithelium of the urinary tract. Arch Surg 1931; 22:377—408.
- 9. Welcker ER. Experimentelle erzeugung heteropen kno **chens beim menschev. ZenzralblF Chir 1950; 75:765—** 770.
- **10. FriedensteinAl. Humoral natureofosteogenic activity of** transitional epithelium. Nature 1962; 194:698—699.
- 11. Amitani K, Nakata Y. Colon studies on a factor responsible for new bone formation from osteosarcoma in mice. **Ca/cTissRes1975;17:139—150.**
- 12. Parthasarathy KL, Landsberg RL, Bakshi RP, et al. Detection of bone metastases in urogenital malignancies

utilizing 99m Tc-labeled phosphate compounds. Urology 1978;11:99—102.

> John C. Roth Kim A. Adcock Dorothy M. Adcock *University of Colorado Health Sciences Center* **VeteransAdministration** *Medical Center* **Denver.Colorado**

Tumor Uptake as a Function of Mass: Basis in Blood Flow

The interesting report by Williams and associates (1) on tumor uptake, can be placed into context by noting that aside from rare cases of material delivery by lymphatic, ascitic or other fluids, we are dealing with blood flow to tumors and

subsequent extraction. We have shown, as a reasonable first assumption, that the specific rate of change of blood flow (dF/d) F) can be set proportional to the specific rate of change of tumor weight (dW/W) (2).

$$
dF/F = p \cdot dW/W \tag{1}
$$

This integrates to a form identical to Williams' power law.

$$
\log F = \text{P} \cdot \log W + \log B \tag{2}
$$

$$
F = B \cdot W^p. \tag{3}
$$

The exponent p was in the range of 0.52-0.64 for three reported series of tumors, and considerably higher for three others. At one extreme, tissue growth and metabolism might be limited by nutrient supply across the surface. This assump tion leads to equations descriptive of fetal and placental growth (3) . Larger values of the exponent (p) might be related **to membrane infoldings and a functionally expanded surface** area. It is also possible that the value of p may be viewed as a fractal dimension which can exceed the topological dimension [see for example, a discussion in (4)].

Williams and co-workers (1) also speculated on differential sensitivity, noting that poorly perfused volumes would be the most radioresistant. An approach has been made by means of dual radiotracers, one for blood flow and one for nutrient extraction (5) . As blood was being supplied from the surface of the tumor, the "blood flow/gm of tumor decreases as the center of the tumor is approached" (5) . A corollary was that the nutrient extraction/gram of tissue was also changing from the center of the tumor outward. Thus, approaches to quan tifying blood flow may provide a basis for examining extrac tion of molecules by tumors.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by USPHS CA 17802 from the National Cancer Institute.

References

- 1. Williams LE, Duda RB, Proffitt RT, et al. Tumor uptake as a function of tumor mass: a mathematic model. J Nucl **Med 1988;29:103—109.**
- 2. Spencer RP. Blood flow in transplanted tumors: quanti tative approaches. Yale J Biol Med 1970; $43:22-30$.
- 3. Spencer RP, Powell MD. Surface limited nutrient supply: an approach to describing tissue growth and metabolism. **BiophysJ 1968;8:A-l15.**
- 4. Liebovitch LS, Sullivan JM. Fractal analysis of a voltagedependent potassium channel from cultured mouse hip pocampal neurons. *Biophys J* 1987; 52:979–988.
- 5. Spencer RP, Cornelius EA. Radionucide studies of blood flow in a transplantable mouse mammary carcinoma. J **NuclMed 1971;12:465.**

Richard P. Spencer *University of Connecticut Health Center Farmington, Connecticut*

REPLY: We would like to thank Professor Spencer for his data and comments on tumor blood flow. His work clearly demonstrates the correlation of flow (ml/min) with tumor mass (W). We remarked on the necessity of tumor blood flow

for tracer uptake (1) , but wish to emphasize that its presence is not a sufficient condition for tracer accumulation.

Beyond gross size effects, which may prove amenable to fractal analysis, several additional reasons can be postulated for the observed slope $(p - 1)$ variation. Aside from geometric μ differences between lesions of various shapes (1), there is a possibility of evolutionary changes as clones of the same tumor are differentially passaged through animals at a given labora (2) tory. Thus, the slope may reflect a history-dependent as well as a tumor-dependent variable. It may occur that more than **one type of clone is presentin a particularexperimentso that the resultantslope is simply a local average.In the presence of carriermaterial(e.g., cold antibody), there is an additional** complication involving competition between label and carrier for a limited number of target sites in the tumor. Here the uptake may be expected to decrease at lower masses since the **amount ofcold materialmight be sufficientto saturateminute** lesions and effectively exclude the label. The signature of such an event is a much flattened uptake-versus-mass curve; i.e., one having a slope close to zero. Researchers advocating the use of relatively large amounts of carrier protein in mono clonal experiments should be aware of this possibility. This is **an example where uptake is not correlated with flow and** could approach zero as tumor size decreases.

One should mention the consideration of time variability in the biodistribution results. A typographic error in our original article (1) must be corrected to read that all biodistributions included therein were obtained at times *greater than 24 hr postinjection of the tracer compound. If* one is forced to compare data taken at greatly different times, a correction for reduced uptake and/or clearance also may be necessary.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute Grant Number CA33572.

References

1. Williams LE, Duda RB, Proffitt RT, et al. Tumor uptake as a function of tumor mass: a mathematic model. J Nucl **Med 1988;29:103—109.**

> Lawrence E. Williams *City ofHope National Medical Center Duarte, California*

Technetium-99m Compounds for Measurement of Cerebral Blood Flow

TO THE EDITOR: Scheffel et al. (1) reported on potential technetium-99m-labeled diaminodithiol ($[$ ^{99m}Tc]DADT) derivatives for measurement of cerebral blood flow focuses on a 4'-methyl-N-ethyl-piperidinyl isomer with marked lipophil icity having an octanol:water partition coefficient P of 1671 ($log P = 3.22$). This means that the tracer is probably not completely extracted in the brain, as binding to proteins in **plasma and erythrocytes will impede the transfer across the** blood:brain barrier (2). In this situation the observed slow